

A New Proof of a Old Theorem*

M. Hello^{1†}, S. Mello, R. Shello[‡]

Indian Institute of Science

Bangalore

{tweedledum,tweedledee,hohum}@iisc.ernet.in

ABSTRACT.

This paper studies an approach to designing programs with mutable complexity using a programming language with graphical loops and a multitouch interface.

- one
 - one
 - two
 - three
- two
- three

We investigate the quantum complexity of programs written in this language and the potential for using this language for safety-critical applications.

1 Introduction

[illegible]

- one
 - one
 - two
 - three
- two
- three

[illegible]

*Work done on Project XXX.

[†]Funded by DOT MOT ROT

‡...but no thanks!

this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem. In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem. In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem.

2 This is Section Two

In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem. In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem. In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem.

THEOREM 1. *We prove that $P \neq NP$. Actually, the result is true so long as N is greater than one. This is in fact a sufficient condition for the famous result to hold.*

PROOF. This is the proof the the above theorem. This is the proof the the above theorem. This is the proof the the above theorem.

This is the proof the the above theorem. This is the proof the the above theorem. This is the proof the the above theorem. ■

In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem. In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem. In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem. In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem.

2.1 A new trick

In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem. In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem. In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem. In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem. In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem. In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem.

2.2 Another trick

In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem. In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem. In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem. In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem. In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem. In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem.

3 This is section 3

In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem. In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem. In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem. In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem. In this section, we provide a very new proof to a very old theorem.

[illegible]

References

- [1] S.A. COOK. The complexity of theorem proving procedures. *Proc. Third Annual ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing*, ACM, New York (1971) 151-158.
- [2] DONALD E. KNUTH. *The Art of Computer Programming, Vol 2 : Seminumerical Algorithms*, Addison-Wesley (1997).
- [3] M.O. RABIN AND D. SCOTT. Finite Automata and Their Decision Problems. *IBM Journal of Research and Development* **3**(2), (1959) 114-125.